

Fire Safety Workshop

RESULTS

Event Feedback

Cologne / Germany



1. What is the nature of your organisation's involvement with Fire Safety?

• Train operating company	Research establishment
Infrastructure manager	Consultancy
Maintenance organisation	 Testing establishment
Supplier to the rail industry	University
Standards body	Other (please specify):
Question 1 Number=93 [] Train operating company [] Infrastructure manager [] Maintenance organisation [] Supplier to the rail industry [] Standards body [] Research establishment [] Consultancy [] Testing establishment [] University [] Other	4 1 1 35 3 13 12 8 1



2. What are your primary areas of expertise relating to Fire Safety?

		lick all that app	oly .
	 Standards 	Cabling	
	 Homologation 	Electrical Equipn	nent 🔲
	Testing	Sandwich structu	ıres 🗌
	Seat supply	☐ • GRP	
		Plastics	
(Question 2 Number=166	Other (please sp	ecify):
	[] Standards	26	
	[] Homologation	12	
	[] Testing	21 8	
	[] Seat supply [] Cabling	19	
	[] Electrical Equipment	16	
ı	Sandwich structures	12	
	[] GRP	21	
	[] Plastics	16 15	
	[] Other	15	





3. Has the workshop addressed the critical technical issues surrounding railway Fire Safety?

Yes, the most critical issues
have been addressed.

 No, some critical areas have been missed

(please specify):

 Question 3
 Number=69

 [] Yes
 53

 [] No
 16



4. Has the workshop been successful in identifying solutions for Fire Safety and the associated research priorities

- Yes, I am satisfied that these have been adequately highlighted by the event.
- No, I feel that a follow-up session is necessary.

Please enter any comments below:

Question 4	Number=67	
[] Yes		41
[] No		26



5. Do you feel that the successful implementation of the solutions and research priorities identified by the workshop would lead to a quantifiable business benefit for your organisation?

• Yes			
NoDon't knowNot applicable			
Please enter any o below:	comments		
Question 5 [] Yes [] No [] Don't know [] Not applicable	lumber=67	31 7 25 4	

TRAINSAFE: Fire Safety of Rail Vehicle Structures

Workshop 17 – 18 March 2004

	6.	Given ERRAC's 2020 targets of moof rail passengers whilst halving the fatalities, which of the following temprioritised for future research and	ore than doubling the number ne number of passenger chnologies should
		Please selec	ct no m th n r
	•	The correlation of laboratory and in-service performance "Whole life" rail crack / ea	evel sil s d sign nd/or nd at n. npro s w ch c sein
7	•	models. The define s	Vhee of si si
		hp ver rack in b tic plo es.	ed and/or and/or techniques.
		ew ha rials for a large with the physical part of t	Improved implementation of existing technologies.
		r ur erstanding of the onship between track soort stiffness and rail	Other (please specify):
		defects	



7. How would you rate ...

Please circle accordingly

The relevance of the workshop?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Terrible
The structure of the event (e.g. the use of facilitated discussion groups)?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Terrible
The level of detail?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Terrible
The organisation of the event (e.g. registration)?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Terrible
The venue?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Terrible

Question 7	Average
Relevance of the workshop	4,15
structure of the event / discussion	3,84
groups	
level of detail	3,65
organisation of event / registration	4,41
venue	4.11



FEEDBACK

5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Average 2 = Poor 1 = Terrible

